

The 50 Questions an Opposing Expert Will Ask About Your Valuation

and where the answers live in a properly built report

A guide for attorneys, accountants and advisers who have to rely on a business valuation in a dispute, a divorce, a tax matter or a negotiation — and for the business owners whose value is at stake.

Why this document exists

Most business valuations are never tested. The ones that matter — in litigation, before SARS, across a settlement table — always are. When a valuation is tested, the questions are not random: they follow a pattern that any experienced opposing expert knows by heart. These are those questions.

They are the questions I would ask if I were instructed on the other side. A valuation that cannot answer them is not evidence; it is a liability with a letterhead. A valuation that answers all fifty — on the page, before anyone asks — is what I mean by defensible.

Use this document two ways: to test a valuation you have been handed, or to understand what you should demand from one you are commissioning. The right-hand column shows where each answer lives in the report structure Bizplans uses on every engagement.

Mark Prigge · B.Com, MBA · Bizplans CC (CK 2006/214379/23) · info@bizplans.co.za · bizplans.co.za
v1.3 · 18 September 2026

A. Instruction, independence and purpose

The first attack is rarely on the numbers. It is on who produced them, who paid, and whether the valuer understood what the valuation was for.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
1	Who instructed you, who is paying your fee, and is any part of your fee contingent on the outcome of this matter or the value concluded?	Section 01B (Engagement Letter) and Section 11 — fixed fee stated; the Independence Statement in Appendix F confirms no contingent or success-fee arrangements.
2	Have you acted for this client, their attorney, or any related party before, and would you have reached the same value if the other side had instructed you?	Section 03 (Independence and Information Reliance) discloses the relationship; independence from the paying party is stated in Appendix F.
3	What was the stated purpose of this valuation, and how did that purpose determine the basis of value you applied?	Section 03 — purpose, standard and premise of value are set out before any figure appears, in the order purpose → basis → premise → method.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
4	If this report were now used for a different purpose — a sale, a tax submission — would it still hold?	<i>Section 03 and Appendix A: the report is expressly limited to its stated purpose; a change of purpose requires a new engagement.</i>
5	What valuation standard did you apply, and can you point to where each requirement of that standard is met in this report?	<i>Section 03 states IVS as the operative standard; Sections 07–09 and Appendices C–E evidence the requirements.</i>

B. Information relied upon

If the inputs can be discredited, everything built on them falls. The cross-examiner hunts for unverified figures doing verified work.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
6	List every document you relied on. Which were audited or independently reviewed, and which were management's own unverified figures?	<i>Section 04 (Documents Received and Relied Upon) is a complete schedule, distinguishing AFS from management accounts.</i>
7	Where you used management accounts, what did you do to satisfy yourself they were reliable — and what could you not verify?	<i>Section 03 (Information Reliance) and Section 06 state the reliance limits; unresolved items are flagged, not absorbed silently.</i>
8	Did you visit the business, interview management, or rely solely on paper?	<i>Section 04 records the information process; the scope of enquiry is disclosed rather than implied.</i>
9	What information did you request and not receive, and how would it have changed your opinion?	<i>Section 04 and Appendix A — outstanding information is listed with its potential effect stated.</i>
10	Your valuation date is [date]. Why that date, and is every input in this report drawn from information available at that date?	<i>Section 03 fixes the valuation date and its rationale; Section 06 and Appendix B tie the financial record to it.</i>

C. Normalisation adjustments

This is the most attacked section of any SME valuation, because every add-back moves value and most reports only adjust in one direction.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
11	Take me through every adjustment you made to reported earnings. What is the evidence for each one?	<i>Section 07 (Normalisation Bridge Table) and Appendix C — every adjustment is itemised, per year, with its rationale.</i>
12	Every one of your adjustments increases earnings. Did you look for adjustments that reduce them — under-market rent from a related party, deferred maintenance, an under-provisioned liability?	<i>Section 07 — downward candidates are considered and addressed expressly, including where the conclusion is that none apply.</i>
13	What market salary did you substitute for the owner, and where does that rate come from?	<i>Section 07 and Appendix C — a single market-rate owner salary with a named, checkable source, applied consistently across all years.</i>
14	This 'one-off' cost appears in more than one year. Why is it not simply a recurring cost of this business?	<i>Appendix C — one-off treatment is evidenced against the multi-year record, not asserted.</i>

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
15	If I struck out your two largest add-backs, what happens to your concluded value?	<i>Section 09 (Sensitivity Analysis) shows how the conclusion moves with the earnings base.</i>

D. Maintainable earnings

Between normalised history and the earnings figure that gets multiplied sits a judgement. The attack asks whether that judgement favoured the instructing party.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
16	Why a three-year average? The most recent year was [higher/lower] — why does your chosen basis happen to favour your client?	<i>Sections 07–08 — the earnings basis is stated and reasoned; departures from the default three-year average carry a written justification.</i>
17	Earnings are trending [down/up]. Does a historical average not [overstate/understate] what a buyer would actually rely on?	<i>Section 06 sets out the trend with plain-English interpretation; Section 08 addresses how the trend informed the basis.</i>
18	Did you double-count depreciation, amortisation or interest anywhere between the P&L and your EBITDA build?	<i>Appendix C — the bridge from reported profit to normalised EBITDA is shown line by line and ties to the financial statements.</i>
19	How did COVID-era or other abnormal trading years enter your average, and why is that treatment fair to both parties?	<i>Sections 06–07 — abnormal periods are identified and their treatment reasoned symmetrically.</i>
20	What forward-looking evidence did you consider, and why did you conclude history is a fair proxy for the future here?	<i>Section 08 (Valuation Assumptions) states the maintainability reasoning; Section 05 provides the market context.</i>

E. The multiple

One number moves the conclusion more than any other. The cross-examiner wants to show it was plucked, not derived.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
21	Where does your multiple come from? Show me the evidence, not your experience.	<i>Section 08 (Multiple Selection Rationale) and Appendix E (Comparable Market Evidence) — the evidence base and its limits are on the page.</i>
22	Why is your multiple [below/above] the sector evidence you yourself cite?	<i>Section 08 links the position within the range to the specific risk factors rated in Section 10 — owner dependency, customer concentration and their kind.</i>
23	You acknowledge there is no deep SA SME transaction database. So is this multiple not simply your opinion?	<i>Section 08 and Appendix E — the limitation is disclosed rather than glossed, and the conclusion is triangulated against an independently built discounted cash flow, not asserted alone.</i>
24	Would you accept that another competent valuer could reasonably have chosen a higher multiple, and what would that do to the value?	<i>Section 09 (Sensitivity Analysis and Football Field) shows the value across the reasonable multiple range.</i>

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
25	Your risk section rates this business risky, and your discount rate also charges for risk. Have you not counted the same risk twice?	<i>Section 08 states expressly that company risk is reflected once in the multiple and independently in the build-up rate — the two are set separately, never mechanically linked.</i>

F. The discount rate and cross-check

Every component of a build-up rate has a source. A component without one is a soft target.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
26	Take me through your build-up rate component by component. What is the source and date of each input?	<i>Appendix D (Build-Up Rate Model) — risk-free rate, equity risk premium, size premium and company-specific premium each carry a named source.</i>
27	Your risk-free rate is rand-denominated. Why have you [not] added a separate country risk premium on top?	<i>Appendix D — the country layer embedded in a rand-denominated base is addressed expressly, so it is not counted twice.</i>
28	How exactly did you arrive at the company-specific risk premium — is it not just a plug to reach the answer you wanted?	<i>Appendix D and Section 08 — the CSRP is a disclosed judgement referencing the risk analysis in Section 10, set independently of the multiple.</i>
29	What cross-checks the earnings-multiple conclusion, and if the two diverge, why?	<i>Section 08 — the discounted cash flow, built on the same documented discount rate, is the cross-check on the earnings-multiple conclusion. Where the two diverge the report states the divergence and explains it. Note what is deliberately not done: a cost of equity is not inverted into an implied multiple, because that produces an equity-earnings multiple which is not comparable with an EBITDA multiple.</i>
30	If your discount rate moved by two percentage points, what happens to the value?	<i>Section 09 (Sensitivity Analysis) — the conclusion's sensitivity to the rate is quantified on the page.</i>

G. The balance sheet and the asset floor

Earnings approaches can quietly conclude below what the assets alone are worth. Courts notice.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
31	What is this business worth on its assets alone, and is your concluded value above or below that figure?	<i>Section 06 (Net Asset Value) and Section 09 — the NAV is computed and the report states whether the asset floor binds.</i>
32	If the asset value exceeds your earnings value, why would a rational owner accept your number?	<i>Section 09 and Section 11 — where the floor binds, the conclusion follows the floor and says so.</i>
33	How did you treat the members'/directors' loan account — as debt, as equity, or not at all?	<i>Section 06 and Section 09 (equity bridge) — loan accounts are classified expressly with the reasoning shown.</i>
34	Which assets on this balance sheet are surplus to operations, and how did they enter your value?	<i>Section 09 — surplus and non-operating items are separated in the equity bridge, not blended into the operating value.</i>

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
35	What is the condition and realisable value of the fixed assets you relied on — did you simply take book value?	<i>Section 06 (Net Asset Value) discloses the basis for asset values and any reliance limits.</i>

H. Working capital and the equity bridge

The most argued-over number in completed deals is also the least explained in most reports.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
36	What level of working capital does this business need to trade, and how did you calculate it?	<i>Section 06 (Working Capital Analysis) — a days-based calculation: debtors on revenue, inventory and creditors on cost of sales.</i>
37	You identified a working-capital [surplus/deficit]. Show me how it entered your equity value.	<i>Section 09 — the surplus/(deficit) is an explicit line in the equity bridge from enterprise value to equity value.</i>
38	Walk me from your enterprise value to your equity value. What did you add, what did you deduct, and why?	<i>Section 09 (Earnings Multiple Calculation) — the bridge is itemised: cash, debt and debt-like items, surplus items, working-capital adjustment.</i>
39	Did you treat cash as free when part of it is needed to run the business?	<i>Sections 06 and 09 — operating cash needs are addressed in the working-capital analysis before cash is credited in the bridge.</i>
40	Is your working-capital reference period representative, or did you measure at a seasonally convenient date?	<i>Section 06 — the measurement basis and period are stated so the reader can test them.</i>

I. Minority interests and discounts

Discounts of thirty percent and more get applied with one sentence of support. This is where careless reports die.

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
41	You applied a minority discount. Is this not a fair-value matter where the law requires a pro-rata value with no discount?	<i>Section 03 and Section 09 (Minority Interest Discount) — the basis-of-value gate is applied first: statutory fair-value and equivalent matters are valued pro-rata at 0% discount, and the report says which side of the gate this matter falls.</i>
42	You have applied one blended 'minority discount'. What exactly is it made of?	<i>Section 09 — lack of control (DLOC) and lack of marketability (DL0M) are named, evidenced and applied as two separate, sequential discounts.</i>
43	Did you apply the two discounts additively or multiplicatively, and what difference does that make?	<i>Section 09 — sequential, multiplicative application is shown in the calculation, with the combined effect stated.</i>
44	What is the evidential basis for the percentage you chose for each discount?	<i>Section 09 — each discount carries its own reasoning tied to this company's facts: shareholder rights, restrictions, market for the interest.</i>
45	The shareholders' agreement gives this minority holder [rights/restrictions]. Did you read it, and how did it change your discounts?	<i>Section 04 (Documents Received) lists the agreement; Section 09 applies its specific provisions to the discount reasoning.</i>

J. The conclusion, consistency and the signature

The final attack is on coherence: does the report agree with itself, and will the valuer stand behind every line?

#	The question you will face	Where the answer lives in a Bizplans report
46	Your executive summary says [X]; page [Y] says something different. Which is your evidence?	<i>Section 02 and Section 11 — every figure ties to a single calculation source; the report is built for internal consistency and cross-referenced throughout.</i>
47	Is your conclusion a single number or a range, and why is the point you chose within the range fair to both parties?	<i>Sections 09 and 11 — the range, the sensitivity and the reasoning for the concluded point are all disclosed.</i>
48	What assumptions is this valuation standing on, and which one, if wrong, does the most damage?	<i>Section 08 (Valuation Assumptions) and Appendix A — assumptions and limiting conditions are explicit, not buried.</i>
49	What are your qualifications to give this opinion, and is your professional history independently verifiable?	<i>Appendix F (Valuer Credentials) — qualifications, experience and the independence statement, framed in independently checkable terms.</i>
50	Do you adopt every word of this report as your own evidence, including the parts a junior or a model prepared?	<i>Section 11 (Valuer's Opinion and Signature) — a signed, dated, personal opinion. The valuer signs the judgement; tools do not.</i>

What to do with a valuation that fails these questions

If you are holding a report that cannot answer a material number of these questions, you have three options: ask the valuer to remediate it, commission an independent review of it, or commission a valuation built to answer them from the start. Which is right depends on the matter, the timetable and what the number has to survive.

Bizplans provides independent, IVS-aligned business valuations for South African SMEs — for divorce and matrimonial matters, shareholder disputes and buy-outs, SARS and tax matters, succession and estates, and sale preparation. Every engagement is a fixed fee, scoped to your situation, never contingent on the outcome. Most valuations are completed within about a week, once I have the information I need.

Mark Prigge · info@bizplans.co.za · +44 7467 607872 (WhatsApp) · linkedin.com/in/markprigge · bizplans.co.za

This document is general guidance on valuation practice and evidence standards. It is not legal advice, and no attorney–client or valuer–client relationship arises from it. © Bizplans CC 2026.